arXiv:1701.02584v1 [physics.gen-ph] 26 Dec 2016

Interacting dark energy model in the brane scenario: A Dynamical System Analysis

Sujay Kr. Biswas[1, 2, *] and Subenoy Chakraborty[2, †]

[1]*Department of Mathematics, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Centenary College, Rahara, Kolkata-700 118, West Bengal, India.*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Jadavpur, Kolkata - 700032, West Bengal, India*

The present work is a second in the series of investigation of the background dynamics in brane cosmology when dark energy is coupled to dark matter by a suitable interaction. Here dark matter is chosen in the form of perfect fluid with barotropic equation of state while a real scalar field with self interacting potential is chosen as dark energy. The scalar field potential is chosen as exponential or hyperbolic in nature and three different choices for the interaction between the dark species are considered. In the background of spatially flat, homogeneous and isotropic Friedmann-Robertson-Walker(FRW) brane model, the evolution equations are reduced to an autonomous system by suitable transformation of variables and the critical points are analyzed. The scaling attractors are found in some parameter region for exponential potential only.

PACS numbers: 95.36.+x, 95.35.+d, 98.80.-k, 98.80.Cq.
Keywords: brane world cosmology, dark energy, interaction, dynamical system, phase space, stability

1. INTRODUCTION

Brane world scenario [1, 2] is a modified theory of gravity in which our four dimensional universe is embedded in a higher dimensional bulk space time. A particular brane scenario proposed by Randall and Sundrum [3] (known as RS2 brane) consists of a single positive tension brane as a sub-manifold in the five dimensional anti- de Sitter (*i.e.*, $\Lambda = -\frac{6}{\ell^2}$, ℓ is curvature radius of bulk) space (AdS_5) with Z_2 - symmetry (the RS2 scenario[3]). In this modified gravity theory, the Hubble parameter (H) is proportional to the energy density at early epochs when $\rho_T \gg \lambda$ (where λ is the brane tension). However, in the lower energy limit (*i.e.*, $\rho_T \ll \lambda$)[3–5] the standard four dimensional gravity is recovered.

Today, it is well known from various astrophysical observations [6–12] that presently the universe is going through an accelerating phase which can not be explained by standard cosmology. So, Physicists are trying to resolve this challenging issue either by modifying the right hand side (r.h.s) of the Einstein field equations (*i.e.*, by introduction of some exotic matter known as dark energy (DE)) or, by modifying the left hand side (l.h.s) of the Einstein field equations (*i.e.*, introduction of modified gravity theory). The unknown matter component, *i.e.*, DE is totally unknown to us except its large negative pressure, and is considered as an unresolved problem in modern cosmology [13, 14]. On the other hand, there are several modified gravity theories namely $f(R)$-gravity, scalar-tensor gravity, Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, Brane world gravity and so on [2, 3, 13–19]. But from the point of view of observational data, none of these models can fit the observation in a better way compare to ΛCDM model, the simplest DE model with cosmological constant Λ representing the DE component, and the massive non-relativistic particles in terms of Cold dark matter. Although, the ΛCDM provides the best fit to most of the recent observations, this model faces some severe problems namely the cosmological constant problem[20–22], and the coincidence problem[23], and dynamical dark energy models (dark energy evolve with time) namely quintessence, k-essence, tachyon, phantom etc. have been studied in the literature. All these models are based on scalar field and can solve the cosmological constant problem. However, uncoupled quintessence model can not give rise to a solution of coincidence problem, while a coupled quintessence allows to give the possible solution of coincidence problem by providing scaling attractor[24–26], since in the attractor regime, both the dark components (DE and DM) scale in the similar way. However, it should be noted that the models where DE interacts with the DM components of the universe, were originally introduced as a solution to the− coincidence problem.

*Electronic address: sujaymathju@gmail.com
†Electronic address: schakraborty@math.jdvu.ac.in

Thus, the dynamics of dark energy interacting with dark matter would provide better results than the non-interacting one. Also, the argument behind choice of interaction models is that they are favoured by observed data obtained from the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)[27] and matter distribution at large scales[28]. Further, Das et al[29] and Amendola et al[30] showed that an interaction model of the universe mimics the observationally measured phantom equation of state as compared to non-interacting models, which may predict a non phantom type of equation of state. In fact, this interaction occurred non gravitationally is very weak or negligible in the sense that DE is homogeneously distributed in the universe where as the DM clumps around the ordinary matter. Since there is no fundamental theoretical approach for choosing such interaction one assumes this on the complete phenomenological ground. Although one may provide more physical and natural results than others, so several interacting DE models are extensively studied in the literature[24, 31–42].

Nevertheless, still people are trying to explain the observational fact by any one of the above modified gravity theory or by imposing both type of modifications. In the frame work of RS2 brane scenario, several models have been proposed to account for the present accelerating phase. In particular, a self interacting scalar field [43–47] behaves as dark energy. The dynamics of scalar field with constant or exponential[48] as well as a wide variety of self-interaction potentials[49] have been studied in the context of FRW cosmology. Recently, a detailed phase space analysis of RS2 brane world scenario has been done in[50], and a complete cosmic scenario in this model has been shown in [51] with the help of dynamical system analysis. Also, scalar field coupled to barotropic fluid has been studied in ref.[52–58], and in this context, it is worth noting that the relevant dynamical system studies in the brane scenario can be found in [59–62] and others (see for instance [63, 64] where a phase space analysis with exponential potential the brane has been examined by Goheer and Dunsby). Further, it should be noted in this context that scalar field appears naturally in particle physics and in the present context it behaves as a source of DE.

The present work is continuation of our earlier work [34] in brane scenario. Here, we have considered three sets of new interaction term between perfect fluid having any barotropic equation of state and a scalar field having self interacting potential as exponential or hyperbolic in form. The evolution equations are converted to an autonomous system by suitable transformation of the variables. A detail study of the critical points and their stability analysis has been done in the context of cosmology. From the study, we have found some critical points represent the scalar field dominated solutions which are accelerated attractors in some parameter region but could not alleviate the coincidence problem. However, the critical points representing the scaling attractors can solve the coincidence problem successfully for some parameter restrictions when the potential of the self interacting scalar field is taken as exponential. The paper is organized as follows : Section 2 comprises of the essential details of Randall - Sundrum model and deals with basic equations in Brane Scenario and formation of Dynamical System. In Section 3, a detailed phase space analysis related to the critical points is presented. Also, we discuss the existence and stability / instability of critical points for various interaction terms and potentials. Cosmological implications of critical points for various system are shown in section 4. Finally, the summary and concluding remarks are given in section 5. Throughout the paper we use natural units ($8\pi G = \frac{8\pi}{m_{PL}^2} = \hbar = c = 1$).

2. FORMATION OF AUTONOMOUS SYSTEM IN BRANE SCENARIO

The present work deals with RSII brane scenario in the background of homogeneous and isotropic spatially flat FLRW model of the universe. The cosmic substratum is chosen as two interacting dark components– one of the dark species namely the dark matter is chosen as perfect fluid with constant barotropic equation of state and the dark energy component is taken as real scalar field with arbitrary self interaction potential. So the modified energy conservation equations for the individual matter part take the form

$$\dot{\rho_m} + 3H\omega_m\rho_m = Q \tag{1}$$

and

$$\dot{\rho_\phi} + 3H(\rho_\phi + p_\phi) = -Q \tag{2}$$

Here, ρ_m, and $p_m = (\omega_m - 1)\rho_m$ represent the energy density and the thermodynamic pressure for dark matter with ω_m $(0 \leq \omega_m \leq 2)$, the equation of state parameter. On the other hand, the real scalar field ϕ represents the dark energy component with

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi), \qquad p_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) \tag{3}$$

as the matter density and thermodynamic pressure. The scalar function $V(\phi)$ represents the self interaction potential for the scalar field. Now, using (3) in the conservation equation (2) gives the evolution equation for the scalar field as

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV(\phi)}{d\phi} = -\frac{Q}{\dot{\phi}} \tag{4}$$

For the time being the interaction term Q is unspecified except that it is assumed to have same sign throughout the cosmic evolution. In particular, $Q > 0$ indicates a flow of energy from DE to DM and it is in the reverse direction for $Q < 0$. From physical point of view, $Q > 0$ helps to alleviate the coincidence problem and it is required for the validity of the second law of thermodynamics. On the contrary, negative Q (*i.e.*, decay of DM into DE) indicates the possibility that there is no DE field in the very early universe and that DE 'condenses' as a result of the slow decay of DM [32]. Moreover, recently it has been shown [24] that the coupling parameter (in the interaction term) is weakly constrained to negative values by Planck data set[24]. Hence it appears in the observed data fittings that models with negative Q show most significant departure from zero coupling. Further, from the aspect of curvature perturbation, it is found [37] that there will be a stable curvature perturbation if

(i) $Q \propto \rho_\phi$, *with* $\omega_\phi = \frac{p_\phi}{\rho_\phi} \neq -1$ or,
(ii) $Q \propto \rho_m$, *or* $Q \propto \rho_T = \rho_m + \rho_\phi$ *provided* $\omega_\phi < -1$.

The modified Friedmann equations for the present brane scenario are given by [65–68]

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3}\rho_T(1 + \frac{\rho_T}{2\lambda}) + \frac{2U}{\lambda} \tag{5}$$

and

$$2\dot{H} = -(1 + \frac{\rho_T}{\lambda})(\dot{\phi}^2 + \omega_m\rho_m) - \frac{4U}{\lambda} \tag{6}$$

Where λ is the brane tension, $\rho_T = \rho_m + \rho_\phi$ is the total matter energy density, $U(t) = \frac{C}{a(t)^4}$ (a is the scale factor for the FRW model) is the dark radiation due to non- zero bulk Weyl tensor, and the constant parameter C is related to the black hole mass in the bulk. In particular, for AdS- Schwarzschild bulk C to be non-zero [66], but C identically vanishes [68, 69] for AdS bulk. For simplicity of calculation we shall restrict ourselves to AdS bulk model so that C is chosen to be zero. Note that for small brane tension (λ), *i.e.*, $\rho_T \gg \lambda$, the brane effect will be significant (*i.e.*, $H \propto \rho_T$) at early phases of evolution of universe while at the late phase of evolution (*i.e.*, $\rho_T \ll \lambda$) the brane effects will be not so significant ($H \propto \sqrt{\rho_T}$). In any case, the modified Friedmann equations can describe the evolution of the universe at all times.

The evolution equations namely equations (1), (4), (5), and (6) in the brane scenario are highly non-linear and coupled second order differential equations with a, ρ_m, *and* ϕ as the dependent variables and the cosmic time 't' is the independent variable. (Note that equation (5) may be considered as the constraint equation related to the dependent variables). As it is not possible to have an analytic solution describing the evolution of the universe so for a qualitative idea about the cosmic evolution we shall write the evolution equations in an autonomous dynamical system. As a first step we introduce the following variables[50]:

$$x = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H}, \quad y = \frac{V}{3H^2}, \quad z = \frac{\rho_T^2}{6\lambda H^2}, \tag{7}$$

which are normalized over the Hubble scale. As a result, the evolution equations reduce (after some algebra) to the following autonomous system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{dx}{dN} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}ys - 3x + \frac{3}{2}x^3\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}(2-\omega_m) + \frac{3}{2}x\omega_m(1-y-z)\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)} - \frac{Q}{6xH^3}$$
$$\frac{dy}{dN} = -\sqrt{6}xys + 3y\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}\left[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)\right]$$
$$\frac{dz}{dN} = -3z\left[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)\right]$$
$$\frac{ds}{dN} = -\sqrt{6}xf(s) \tag{8}$$

Here $N = \ln a$ is chosen as the independent variable, the dynamical variable 's' is defined as

$$s = -\frac{V'}{V} \tag{9}$$

where $V' \equiv \frac{dV}{d\phi}$, and we have

$$f(s) = \frac{V''}{V} - s^2. \tag{10}$$

Also, using the normalized variables (in equation (7)) into the first modified Friedmann equation (*i.e.*, equation (5)), one obtains the density parameter for dark matter as

$$\Omega_m = 1 - x^2 - y - z \tag{11}$$

As $0 \leq \Omega_m \leq 1$, so the normalized variables are not independent, rather they are constrained as

$$0 \leq x^2 + y + z \leq 1 \tag{12}$$

Also, the ratio of the total energy density to the brane tension is given by

$$\frac{\rho_T}{\lambda} = \frac{2z}{(1-z)} \tag{13}$$

From the above relation it is clear that the early super dense region ($\rho_T \gg \lambda$) is confined to the neighbourhood of $z = 1$ which represents the initial big-bang singularity. Similarly, the late phase of the evolution is represented in the neighborhood of $z = 0$. However, $z = 1$ is not allowed by the above autonomous system, *i.e.*, our model is not appropriate to describe the evolution dynamics near the initial big-bang singularity (possibly due to quantum effects). But from the mathematical point of view one may obtain the neighborhood of this initial singularity in the limiting sense (*i.e.*, asymptotically). Thus the phase space of the above autonomous system can be described as

$$\Omega_{ps} = \left[\{x, y, z\} \times \{s\} : 0 \leq x^2 + y + z \leq 1, \quad |x| \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq z \leq 1, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}\right] \tag{14}$$

Also, the other relevant cosmological parameters in terms of the new variables take the form :

$$\omega_\phi = \frac{p_\phi}{\rho_\phi} = \frac{x^2 - y}{x^2 + y}, \quad \Omega_\phi = \frac{\rho_\phi}{3H^2} = x^2 + y \tag{15}$$

and the deceleration parameter takes the form

$$q = -1 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = -1 + \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)\left[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)\right] \tag{16}$$

In the following section we shall explicitly analyze the autonomous system for three different choices for the interaction term namely $(i)\ Q1 = \gamma\dot{\phi}\rho_m$[25, 26, 70], $(ii)\ Q2 = \delta\frac{\rho_m}{H}\dot{\phi}^2$[37], and $(iii)\ Q3 = \sigma\frac{\rho_m^2}{H}$[37] with γ, δ, *and* σ as the coupling parameter. Also for the potential function, we choose

$$(i)\ V = V_0 exp(-\mu\phi), \quad or \quad (ii)\ V = V_0\cosh(\mu\phi)$$

with V_0, *and* μ as constant. Note that for the exponential potential the variable s turns out to be a constant (μ) so the autonomous system reduces to three dimensional phase space.

It should be noted that there are no guiding principles in choosing the forms of couplings and the potentials that are studied in the paper, rather they are chosen more for the convenience to perform dynamical analysis. Also the potentials and couplings are expected to give interesting physical results.

3. PHASE SPACE ANALYSIS OF AUTONOMOUS SYSTEM (8) FOR VARIOUS CHOICES OF INTERACTION AND POTENTIAL:

We shall now discuss the phase space analysis of interacting DE with various form of interaction term. The potential of the associated scalar field is chosen as exponential or hyperbolic in nature. Critical points will be analyzed for the different autonomous systems provided by the interactions. Then linear stability analysis will be done for different cases step by step.

3.1. Interaction Model 1 Q1: $Q = \gamma\dot{\phi}\rho_m$

First, we consider the interaction term as :

$$Q = \gamma\dot{\phi}\rho_m \tag{17}$$

For this interaction we first consider the exponential potential

3.1.1. Exponential potential:$V = V_0 exp(-s\phi)$

Using the above interaction term in equation (17) the autonomous system (8) with this exponential potential takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dN} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}ys - 3x + \frac{3}{2}x^3\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}(2-\omega_m) + \frac{3}{2}x\omega_m(1-y-z)\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\gamma(1-x^2-y-z)\\ \frac{dy}{dN} &= -\sqrt{6}xys + 3y\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}\left[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)\right]\\ \frac{dz}{dN} &= -3z\left[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Note that for exponential potential $s(=\mu)$ is a constant. The critical points for the system (18) are the following

- I. Critical Points : $A1,\ A2 = (\pm 1, 0, 0)$
- II. Critical Points : $A3 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\frac{\gamma}{(-2+\omega_m)}, 0, 0\right)$
- III. Critical Points : $A4 = \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{6}}, 1-\frac{s^2}{6}, 0\right)$
- IV. Critical Points : $A5 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{(\gamma+s)}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+s} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\omega_m(2-\omega_m)}{(\gamma+s)^2}, 0\right)$

TABLE I: The Critical Points and the corresponding physical parameters for the interaction model $Q1 = \gamma\dot{\phi}\rho_m$ for exponential potential are presented.

Critical Points	$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$	ω_ϕ	$\mathbf{\Omega_m}$	$\mathbf{\Omega_\phi}$	$\mathbf{q}$
$A1, A2$	$(\pm 1, 0, 0)$	1	0	1	2
$A3$	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\frac{\gamma}{(-2+\omega_m)}, 0, 0\right)$	1	$1-\frac{2}{3}\frac{\gamma^2}{(-2+\omega_m)^2}$	$\frac{2}{3}\frac{\gamma^2}{(-2+\omega_m)^2}$	$\frac{4\omega_m-2+\gamma^2-\frac{3}{2}\omega^2}{2-\omega_m}$
$A4$	$\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{6}}, 1-\frac{s^2}{6}, 0\right)$	$\frac{1}{3}s^2-1$	0	1	$-1+\frac{1}{2}s^2$
$A5$	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{(\gamma+s)}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+s}+\frac{3}{2}\frac{\omega_m(2-\omega_m)}{(\gamma+s)^2}, 0\right)$	$\frac{3\omega_m^2-\gamma^2-\gamma s-3\omega_m}{\gamma^2+\gamma s+3\omega_m}$	$\frac{\gamma s+s^2-3\omega_m}{(\gamma+s)^2}$	$\frac{\gamma^2+\gamma s+3\omega_m}{(\gamma+s)^2}$	$\frac{3}{2}\frac{\left((-\frac{2}{3}+\omega_m)s-\frac{2}{3}\gamma\right)}{(\gamma+s)}$
$A6$	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{\gamma}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\frac{2\gamma^2-3\omega_m^2+6\omega_m}{\gamma^2}\right)$	1	$-\frac{3\omega_m}{\gamma^2}$	$\frac{3}{2}\frac{\omega_m^2}{\gamma^2}$	-1

TABLE II: The eigenvalues of the linearized system for the interaction model $Q1 = \gamma\dot{\phi}\rho_m$ for exponential potential, where $\Delta_{A5} = \sqrt{36s^2-180s^2\omega+72\gamma s+81\omega^2s^2-36\gamma s\omega_m+36\gamma^2-48\gamma^3 s-216\omega_m^3+144\omega_m\gamma^2-96s^2\gamma^2-48s^3\gamma+72\omega_m^2\gamma s+432\omega_m^2}$

Critical Points	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3
$A1$	-6	$6-3\omega_m+\gamma\sqrt{6}$	$6-s\sqrt{6}$
$A2$	-6	$6+s\sqrt{6}$	$6-3\omega_m-\gamma\sqrt{6}$
$A3$	$\frac{3\omega_m^2-6\omega_m-2\gamma^2}{2-\omega_m}$	$\frac{2\gamma^2-3\omega_m^2+12\omega_m-12}{2(2-\omega_m)}$	$\frac{2\gamma^2-3\omega_m^2+6\omega_m+2\gamma s}{(2-\omega_m)}$
$A4$	$-s^2$	$\frac{1}{2}s^2-3$	$\gamma s+s^2-3\omega_m$
$A5$	$-\frac{3\omega_m s}{\gamma+s}$	$\frac{1}{(\gamma+s)}(-\frac{3s}{2}+\frac{3s\omega_m}{4}-\frac{3\gamma}{2}+\frac{\Delta_{A5}}{4})$	$\frac{1}{(\gamma+s)}(-\frac{3s}{2}+\frac{3s\omega_m}{4}-\frac{3\gamma}{2}-\frac{\Delta_{A5}}{4})$
$A6$	$-\frac{3\omega_m s}{\gamma}$	$-\frac{3}{2}\frac{\gamma-\sqrt{\gamma^2+6\omega_m^3-12\omega_m^2-4\omega_m\gamma^2}}{\gamma}$	$-\frac{3}{2}\frac{\gamma+\sqrt{\gamma^2+6\omega_m^3-12\omega_m^2-4\omega_m\gamma^2}}{\gamma}$

- V. Critical Points : $A6 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{\gamma}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\frac{2\gamma^2-3\omega_m^2+6\omega_m}{\gamma^2}\right)$.

The critical points and the corresponding physical parameters are shown in the table I.

The eigenvalues for the critical points are shown in the tabular form in the table II.

- The critical points A1, A2 always exist in the phase space. The solutions are dominated by the kinetic part of the scalar field and they correspond to standard cosmological behavior (*i.e.*, $z = 0$). The DE behaves as stiff fluid in this case. There exist always a deceleration near the points. They are hyperbolic in nature. The point A1 admits a stable solution if the restrictions $s > \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma < -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(2-\omega_m)$ hold, while the point A2 shows the stable solution for $s < -\sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma > \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(2-\omega_m)$. Thus these two stable hyperbolic critical points indicate late time decelerating phase of the universe. Only future observations will predict whether they are realistic or not.

- The solution represented by the point A3 is a combination of both DE and DM. It will exist for $0 \leq \omega_m < 2$, and $\frac{3\omega_m-6}{\sqrt{6}} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{6-3\omega_m}{\sqrt{6}}$. Here also DE behaves as stiff fluid. Although, there exists an accelerating universe near the point when $-\sqrt{2} < \gamma < \sqrt{2}$, and $0 \leq \omega_m < \frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3\gamma^2+2}$, it represents an unstable solution in the phase space. Although this critical point represents accelerating phase at late time but it is not physical interesting due to its unstable nature.

- The completely scalar field dominated solution A4 will exist for $s^2 \leq 6$. DM is absent in this case, and any perfect fluid represents the DE with equation of state parameter $\omega_\phi = \frac{s^2}{3} - 1$. There exists an acceleration for $s^2 < 2$. From the linear stability analysis, we observe (see table II) that the conditions for stability of scalar field dominated hyperbolic critical point are:

$\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and either

$(i)\left\{-\sqrt{6} < s < 0, \quad 0 \leq \omega_m \leq 2, \text{ and } \gamma > \frac{3\omega_m-s^2}{s}\right\}$, or

$(ii)\{s = 0, \text{ and } 0 < \omega_m \leq 2\}$, or

$(iii)\left\{0 < s < \sqrt{6}, \quad 0 \leq \omega_m \leq 2, \text{ and } \gamma < \frac{3\omega_m-s^2}{s}\right\}$.

In figure (1) with the independent parameters $\gamma = 0.01$, $s = -1.1$, $\omega_m = 1.01$, the point A4 represents the stable

solution, and in the figure (2), for $\gamma = 0.01,\ \ s = 0.5,\ \ \omega_m = 1.01$, the point A4 is stable solution. It recovers ΛCDM for constant potential (*i.e.*, for $s = 0$, see table I). Since, $\Omega_\phi = 1$ the point does not solve the coincidence problem. This stable critical point represents the late time accelerating phase of the universe and it is fully dominated by DE.

• The solution A5 is the combination of both the DE and DM components. It exists for
(1) $\omega_m = 0:$ and either of
$(a) s < 0$ and $\gamma < -s$, or
$(b) s = 0$, and $(\gamma < 0$, or $\gamma > 0)$, or
$(c) s > 0$, and $\gamma > -s$

(2) $0 < \omega_m < 2:$ and either of
$(a)\ s \leq -\sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(-2s - \sqrt{6}\omega_m\right)$
$(b)\ -\sqrt{6} < s < 0$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{3\omega_m - s^2}{s}$
$(c)\ 0 < s \leq \sqrt{6}$ and $\gamma \geq \frac{3\omega_m - s^2}{s}$
$(d)\ s > \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{6}\omega_m - 2s\right)$

(3) $\omega_m = 2:$ and either of
$(a)\ s < -\sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(-2s - 2\sqrt{6}\right)$
$(b)\ -\sqrt{6} \leq s < 0$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{6 - s^2}{s}$
$(c)\ 0 < s \leq \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{6 - s^2}{s}$
$(d)\ s > \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2\sqrt{6} - 2s\right)$.

The conditions for accelerating phase around this point are same as in the existence region of the point A5 (see table I), so, this will represent an accelerating universe always.
The conditions for stability of the hyperbolic (see table II), scaling solution (matter-scalar field) for the critical point A5 are:

(2) $0 < \omega_m < 2:$
$(a)\ s \leq -\sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(-2s - \sqrt{6}\omega_m\right)$
$(b)\ -\sqrt{6} < s < 0$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{3\omega_m - s^2}{s}$
$(c)\ 0 < s \leq \sqrt{6}$ and $\gamma \geq \frac{3\omega_m - s^2}{s}$
$(d)\ s > \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{6}\omega_m - 2s\right)$

(3) $\omega_m = 2:$
$(a)\ s < -\sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(-2s - 2\sqrt{6}\right)$
$(b)\ -\sqrt{6} \leq s < 0$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{6 - s^2}{s}$
$(c)\ 0 < s \leq \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{6 - s^2}{s}$
$(d)\ s > \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2\sqrt{6} - 2s\right)$.

The matter-scalar field scaling solution A5 is a accelerated stable attractor which is shown in the figures. For example, in figure (3), for the parameters values $\gamma = 0.01,\ \ s = -3.0,\ \ \omega_m = 1.01$, the point A5 represents the stable attractor. For $\gamma = 0.1,\ \ s = -1.9,\ \ \omega_m = 1.01$, the figure (4) shows that the point A5 scaling attractor, and in the figure (5), with the parameter values $\gamma = 0.1,\ \ s = 1.7,\ \ \omega_m = 1.001$, the point A5 is stable attractor. Thus this critical point represents a scaling solution and may correspond to late time acceleration.

• The point A6 is the solution with 5D corrections in RS2 scenario. This point exists for $\omega_m = 0,\ \ \gamma < 0$ or $\gamma > 0$. It represents the early big-bang singularity (as $z \to 1,\ \rho_T \to \infty$) from the existence criteria. Here, DE behaves as stiff fluid for this point. There exists always an accelerating phase ($q = -1$) near the point. For the existence ($\omega_m = 0$) criteria, the two of the eigenvalues become zero, and as a result, the point is a non-hyperbolic one (see table II) with 1D stable sub-manifold.

3.1.2. *Hyperbolic potential:*$V = V_0 \cosh(\mu\phi)$

If we consider a wider class of self-interaction potentials beyond the constant and exponentials the system (8) is not a closed system of ordinary differential equations as in general $\frac{V'}{V}$ defined in (9) is a function of scalar field itself. In order to go further to analyze the phase space dynamics of the system one has to take account of an extra variable s in the autonomous system (8). Thus, we introduce a new dynamical variable for studying the dynamics under hyperbolic potential $V = V_0 \cosh(\mu\phi)$ [71–73] . The extra equation will be in the form:

$$\frac{ds}{dN} = -\sqrt{6}xf(s) \tag{19}$$

where $f(s) = s^2\Gamma - s^2$, with the quantity $\Gamma = \Gamma(\phi) = \frac{V''V}{V'^2}$ as a function of scalar field ϕ. Introducing the interaction term (17), and the equation (19) in the autonomous system (8) the compact system of ordinary differential equations will be the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dx}{dN} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}ys - 3x + \frac{3}{2}x^3\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}(2-\omega_m) + \frac{3}{2}x\omega_m(1-y-z)\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\gamma(1-x^2-y-z)\\
\frac{dy}{dN} &= -\sqrt{6}xys + 3y\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)]\\
\frac{dz}{dN} &= -3z[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)]\\
\frac{ds}{dN} &= -\sqrt{6}x(\mu^2 - s^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $f(s) = (\mu^2 - s^2)$ Since, $s = -\mu\tanh(\mu\phi)$ which implies that $-\mu \leq s \leq \mu$ so, the phase space is bounded. The compact phase space is as follows:

$$\Psi_{\cosh} : \left\{(x, y, z) : 0 \leq x^2 + y + z \leq 1, |x| \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1, |s| \leq \mu\right\} \tag{21}$$

The system (20) with the hyperbolic potential admits the following critical points:

- I. Critical Points : $B1,\ B2 = (\pm 1, 0, 0, \mu)$
- II. Critical Points : $B3,\ B4 = (\pm 1, 0, 0, -\mu)$
- III. Critical Points : $B5,\ B6 = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\frac{\gamma}{(2-\omega_m)}, 0, 0, \pm\mu\right)$
- IV. Critical Points : $B7,\ B8 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{\gamma}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\frac{2\gamma^2 - 3\omega_m^2 + 6\omega_m}{\gamma^2}, \pm\mu\right)$.
- V. Critical Points : $B9 = \left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{6}}, 1 - \frac{\mu^2}{6}, 0, \mu\right)$
- VI. Critical Points : $B10 = \left(-\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{6}}, 1 - \frac{\mu^2}{6}, 0, -\mu\right)$
- VII. Critical Points : $B11 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{(\gamma+\mu)}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\mu} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\omega_m(2-\omega_m)}{(\gamma+\mu)^2}, 0, \mu\right)$
- VIII. Critical Points : $B12 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{(\gamma-\mu)}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\mu} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\omega_m(2-\omega_m)}{(\gamma-\mu)^2}, 0, -\mu\right)$
- IX. Critical Points : $B13 = (0,\ 1-z,\ z,\ 0)$.

The critical points and the corresponding physical parameters are presented in the table III.

The eigenvalues of linearized system for this interaction model with hyperbolic potential are displayed in the table IV.

The interaction model1, namely (17) for hyperbolic potential of scalar field in the context of RS2 scenario shows the extra dimension of dynamical system which provides thirteen critical points in the valid physical region(21).

TABLE III: The Critical Points and their corresponding physical parameters for the interaction model $Q1 = \gamma\dot{\phi}\rho_m$ for hyperbolic potential are presented.

Critical Points	$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{s})$	ω_ϕ	$\mathbf{\Omega_m}$	$\mathbf{\Omega_\phi}$	$\mathbf{q}$
$B1, B2$	$(\pm 1, 0, 0, \mu)$	1	0	1	2
$B3, B4$	$(\pm 1, 0, 0, -\mu)$	1	0	1	2
$B5, B6$	$\left(-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\frac{\gamma}{(2-\omega_m)}, 0, 0, \pm\mu\right)$	1	$\frac{12-12\omega_m+3\omega^2-2\gamma^2}{3(2-\omega_m)^2}$	$\frac{2\gamma^2}{3(2-\omega_m)^2}$	$\frac{2\gamma^2+8\omega_m-3\omega_m^2-4}{2(2-\omega_m)}$
$B7, B8$	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{\gamma}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\frac{2\gamma^2-3\omega_m^2+6\omega_m}{\gamma^2}, \pm\mu\right)$	1	$-\frac{3\omega_m}{\gamma^2}$	$\frac{3\omega_m^2}{2\gamma^2}$	-1
$B9, B10$	$\left(\pm\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{6}}, 1-\frac{\mu^2}{6}, 0, \pm\mu\right)$	$\frac{\mu^2}{3}-1$	0	1	$-1+\frac{\mu^2}{2}$
$B11$	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{(\gamma+\mu)}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\mu}+\frac{3}{2}\frac{\omega_m(2-\omega_m)}{(\gamma+\mu)^2}, 0, \mu\right)$	$\frac{-3\omega_m^2+3\omega_m+\gamma^2+\gamma\mu}{3\omega_m+\gamma^2+\gamma\mu}$	$\frac{\gamma\mu+\mu^2-3\omega_m}{(\gamma+\mu)^2}$	$\frac{\gamma\mu+\gamma^2+3\omega_m}{(\gamma+\mu)^2}$	$-\frac{2\gamma+2\mu-3\mu\omega_m}{2(\gamma+\mu)}$
$B12$	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\omega_m}{(\gamma-\mu)}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\mu}+\frac{3}{2}\frac{\omega_m(2-\omega_m)}{(\gamma-\mu)^2}, 0, -\mu\right)$	$\frac{-3\omega_m^2+3\omega_m+\gamma^2-\gamma\mu}{3\omega_m+\gamma^2-\gamma\mu}$	$\frac{\mu^2-3\omega_m-\gamma\mu}{(\gamma-\mu)^2}$	$\frac{\gamma^2+3\omega_m-\gamma\mu}{(\gamma-\mu)^2}$	$-\frac{2\gamma-2\mu+3\mu\omega_m}{2(\gamma-\mu)}$
$B13$	$(0, 1-z, z, 0)$	-1	0	$1-z$	-1

TABLE IV: The eigenvalues of the linearized system for the interaction model (17) for hyperbolic potential, where $m_{B11} = \sqrt{(36\mu^2 - 180\mu^2\omega_m + 72\gamma\mu + 81\mu^2\omega_m^2 - 36\gamma\mu\omega_m + 36\gamma^2 - 48\gamma^3\mu + 432\omega_m^2 - 216\omega_m^3 + 144\omega_m\gamma^2 - 96\gamma^2\mu^2 - 48\mu^3\gamma + 72\omega_m^2\gamma\mu)}$, and $m_{B12} = \sqrt{(36\mu^2 - 180\mu^2\omega_m - 72\gamma\mu + 81\mu^2\omega_m^2 + 36\gamma\mu\omega_m + 36\gamma^2 + 48\gamma^3\mu - 216\omega_m^3 + 144\omega_m\gamma^2 - 96\gamma^2\mu^2 + 48\mu^3\gamma - 72\omega_m^2\gamma\mu + 432\omega_m^2)}$

Critical Points	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4
$B1$	-6	$6-3\omega_m+\gamma\sqrt{6}$	$6-\mu\sqrt{6}$	$2\sqrt{6}\mu$
$B2$	-6	$6-3\omega_m-\gamma\sqrt{6}$	$6+\mu\sqrt{6}$	$-2\sqrt{6}\mu$
$B3$	-6	$6-3\omega_m+\gamma\sqrt{6}$	$6+\mu\sqrt{6}$	$-2\sqrt{6}\mu$
$B4$	-6	$6-3\omega_m-\gamma\sqrt{6}$	$6-\mu\sqrt{6}$	$2\sqrt{6}\mu$
$B5$	$\frac{2\gamma^2-3\omega_m^2+12\omega_m-12}{2(2-\omega_m)}$	$\frac{2\gamma^2-3\omega_m^2+6\omega_m+2\mu\gamma}{(2-\omega_m)}$	$\frac{3\omega_m^2-2\gamma^2-6\omega_m}{(2-\omega_m)}$	$-\frac{4\gamma\mu}{2-\omega_m}$
$B6$	$\frac{2\gamma^2-3\omega_m^2+12\omega_m-12}{2(2-\omega_m)}$	$\frac{2\gamma^2-3\omega_m^2+6\omega_m-2\mu\gamma}{(2-\omega_m)}$	$\frac{3\omega_m^2-2\gamma^2-6\omega_m}{(2-\omega_m)}$	$\frac{4\gamma\mu}{2-\omega_m}$
$B7, B8$	$\pm\frac{6\omega_m\mu}{\gamma}$	$\mp 3\frac{\omega_m\mu}{\gamma}$	$-\frac{3}{2}\frac{\gamma^2-\sqrt{\gamma^4-4\omega_m\gamma^4-12\omega_m^2\gamma^2+6\omega_m^3\gamma^2}}{\gamma^2}$	$-\frac{3}{2}\frac{\gamma^2+\sqrt{\gamma^4-4\omega_m\gamma^4-12\omega_m^2\gamma^2+6\omega_m^3\gamma^2}}{\gamma^2}$
$B9, B10$	$-\mu^2$	$2\mu^2$	$-3+\frac{1}{2}\mu^2$	$\pm\gamma\mu+\mu^2-3\omega_m$
$B11$	$-\frac{3\omega_m\mu}{(\gamma+\mu)}$	$\frac{6\omega_m\mu}{(\gamma+\mu)}$	$-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3\mu\omega_m}{4(\gamma+\mu)}+\frac{m_{B11}}{4(\gamma+\mu)}$	$-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3\mu\omega_m}{4(\gamma+\mu)}-\frac{m_{B11}}{4(\gamma+\mu)}$
$B12$	$\frac{3\omega_m\mu}{(\gamma-\mu)}$	$\frac{-6\omega_m\mu}{(\gamma-\mu)}$	$-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3\mu\omega_m}{4(\gamma-\mu)}+\frac{m_{B12}}{4(\gamma-\mu)}$	$-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3\mu\omega_m}{4(\gamma-\mu)}-\frac{m_{B12}}{4(\gamma-\mu)}$
$B13$	0	$-3\omega_m$	$-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{9-12\mu^2+12\mu^2 z}$	$-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{9-12\mu^2+12\mu^2 z}$

• The solutions namely, B1, B2, B3, and B4 dominated by the kinetic energy of the scalar field will exist for all parameter values involved in physical region. The points show a decelerating phase ($q = 2$) (see table III). But from the linear stability analysis, we observe that they are the hyperbolic type critical points and all are saddle like unstable critical points because any two of the eigenvalues for every point are of opposite sign (see table IV). The points represent the solutions for standard cosmology ($z = 0$), and of early phases of universe dominated by the kinetic part of scalar field.

• The points B5, and B6 correspond to the scaling solutions with combination of both the fluids DE and DM. DE behaves as stiff fluid in nature. These points exhibit the similar behavior as A3. The points exist for the following parameter restrictions $0 \leq \omega_m < 2$, and $\frac{3\omega_m-6}{\sqrt{6}} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{6-3\omega_m}{\sqrt{6}}$. Although, an acceleration is possible near the points for $0 \leq \omega_m < \frac{2}{3}$, and $-\frac{\sqrt{3\omega_m^2-8\omega_m+4}}{\sqrt{2}} < \gamma < \frac{\sqrt{3\omega_m^2-8\omega_m+4}}{\sqrt{2}}$, the points B5, and B6 represent unstable (saddle like) solutions (table IV).

• The points B7, B8 are the solutions with 5D corrections in RS2 brane scenario. They will exist for $\omega_m = 0$, $\gamma < 0$ or $\gamma > 0$. The DE is stiff fluid. The universe always accelerating near these points ($q = -1$). The points are non-hyperbolic in nature because of their existence criteria and have 1D stable manifold.

• The scalar field (DE) dominated (DM is absent) solutions B9, and B10 are associated with standard 4D behavior. They will exist for $0 \leq \omega_m \leq 2$, and $-\sqrt{6} \leq \mu \leq \sqrt{6}$. The DE represent the perfect fluid having any

equation of state ($\omega_\phi = \frac{\mu^2}{3} - 1$). The solutions are the hyperbolic type critical points. The expansion of the universe will be accelerated near these points for $\mu^2 < 2$ (see table III). The points derived from hyperbolic potential are unstable (saddle like) in nature since one of the eigenvalues are always positive. Therefore, we can conclude that the points of these type realized in this model have different stability with that of the exponential one.

• The existence conditions of the point B11 are:

(1) $\omega_m = 0$:
(a) $\mu < 0$, and $\gamma < -\mu$
(b) $\mu = 0$, and ($\gamma < 0$, or $\gamma > 0$)
(c) $\mu > 0$, and $\gamma > -\mu$.

(2) $0 < \omega_m < 2$:
(a) $\mu \leq -\sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(-2\mu - \sqrt{6}\omega_m\right)$
(b) $-\sqrt{6} < \mu < 0$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{3\omega - \mu^2}{\mu}$
(c) $0 < \mu \leq \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{3\omega_m - \mu^2}{\mu}$
(d) $\mu > \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{6}\omega_m - 2\mu\right)$.

(3) $\omega_m = 2$:
(a) $\mu < -\sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(-2\mu - 2\sqrt{6}\right)$
(b) $-\sqrt{6} \leq \mu < 0$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{6 - \mu^2}{\mu}$
(c) $0 < \mu \leq \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{6 - \mu^2}{\mu}$
(d) $\mu > \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2\sqrt{6} - 2\mu\right)$.

The matter- scalar field scaling solution B11 is always accelerating in the phase space since the conditions for acceleration are also the conditions of existence. The point B11 in the phase space is unstable (saddle) in nature.

• B12 is the solution with the combination of both the DE and DM components. The existence conditions for the point are:
(1) $\omega_m = 0$:
(a) $\mu < 0$, and $\gamma > \mu$
(b) $\mu = 0$, and ($\gamma < 0$, or $\gamma > 0$)
(c) $\mu > 0$, and $\gamma < \mu$

(2) $0 < \omega_m < 2$:
(a) $\mu \leq -\sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2\mu + \sqrt{6}\omega_m\right)$
(b) $-\sqrt{6} < \mu < 0$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{\mu^2 - 3\omega_m}{\mu}$
(c) $0 < \mu \leq \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{\mu^2 - 3\omega}{\mu}$
(d) $\mu > \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(2\mu - \sqrt{6}\omega_m\right)$

(3) $\omega_m = 2$:
(a) $\mu < -\sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2\mu + 2\sqrt{6}\right)$
(b) $-\sqrt{6} \leq \mu < 0$, and $\gamma \geq \frac{\mu^2 - 6}{\mu}$
(c) $0 < \mu \leq \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{\mu^2 - 6}{\mu}$
(d) $\mu > \sqrt{6}$, and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(2\mu - 2\sqrt{6}\right)$.

There exists an accelerating phase near the point B12 because the condition for acceleration of B12 is the existence criteria of the point. The point is unstable (saddle) in nature.

• The line of critical points ($y = 1 - z$) namely B13 represent the solution with 5D corrections. This solution exists always in the phase space (with $z \in [0,\ 1)$), and is dominated by the potential energy of the scalar field ($\rho_T = V(\phi)$). The point is completely DE dominated solution. It is the de Sitter like solution of early time of evolution of the universe ($\omega_\phi = -1$) which is always accelerating. Although this is a non-hyperbolic type point and

TABLE V: The existence of Critical Points and their corresponding physical parameters for the interaction model $Q2 = \delta\frac{\rho_m}{H}\dot{\phi}^2$ for exponential potential.

Critical Points	$(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z})$	ω_ϕ	$\mathbf{\Omega_m}$	$\mathbf{\Omega_\phi}$	$\mathbf{q}$
$C1, C2$	$(\pm1, 0, 0)$	1	0	1	2
$C3$	$\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{6}}, 1-\frac{s^2}{6}, 0\right)$	$\frac{1}{3}s^2-1$	0	1	$-1+\frac{1}{2}s^2$
$C4, C5$	$\left(\pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega_m}{\delta}}, 0, \frac{2-\omega_m+2\delta}{2\delta}\right)$	1	$-\frac{1}{\delta}$	$\frac{\omega_m}{2\delta}$	-1
$C6$	$(0, 0, 0)$	$\nexists$	1	0	$-1+\frac{3\omega_m}{2}$

has 3D stable manifold if $-\sqrt{\frac{3}{4(1-z)}} \leq \mu < 0$, and $0 < \mu \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{4(1-z)}}$ with $0 \leq z < 1$.

3.2. Interaction Model 2:$Q = \delta\frac{\rho_m}{H}\dot{\phi}^2$

Now in this sub-section we shall discuss the interaction model

$$Q = \delta\frac{\rho_m}{H}\dot{\phi}^2 \tag{22}$$

with the exponential potential and the hyperbolic potential respectively for the scalar field. First, we present a autonomous system of ordinary differential equations in the presence of the exponential potential in three dimension as the parameter s here has a constant value.

3.2.1. Exponential potential:$V = V_0 exp(-s\phi)$

For the interaction (22), substituting s=constant in (8), we will get the three dimensional autonomous system in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dx}{dN} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}ys - 3x + \frac{3}{2}x^3\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}(2-\omega_m) + \frac{3}{2}x\omega_m(1-y-z)\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)} - 3\delta x(1-x^2-y-z)\\
\frac{dy}{dN} &= -\sqrt{6}xys + 3y\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}\left[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)\right]\\
\frac{dz}{dN} &= -3z\left[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now, we first find out the critical points and then analyze the linear stability theory accordingly. We present the critical points and the corresponding physical parameters in the table V, and eigenvalues of the linearized matrix in table VI. The following are the critical points for the system (23):

- I. Critical Points : $C1, C2 = (\pm1, 0, 0)$
- II. Critical Points : $C3 = \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{6}}, 1-\frac{s^2}{6}, 0\right)$
- III. Critical Points : $C4, C5 = \left(\pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega_m}{\delta}}, 0, \frac{2-\omega_m+2\delta}{2\delta}\right)$
- IV. Critical Points : $C6 = (0, 0, 0)$.

For the stability analysis we have to find out the eigenvalues of the first order perturbed matrix and so we present the eigenvalues for this model with exponential potential in tabular form in table VI.

- The DE dominated solutions C1, and C2 exist for all values of parameters. Deceleration is always on face ($q = 2$) (see table V) for these solutions. The hyperbolic point C1 is stable conditionally in the given parameter region (see table VI) $s > \sqrt{6}$, and $\delta < \frac{\omega_m}{2} - 1$, where as the point C2 will be stable in the range $s < -\sqrt{6}$, *and* $\delta < \frac{\omega_m}{2} - 1$.

TABLE VI: The eigenvalues of the linearized system for the interaction model $Q2=\delta\frac{\rho_m}{H}\dot{\phi}^2$ for exponential potential

Critical Points	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3
$C1, C2$	-6	$6-3\omega_m+6\delta$	$6\mp s\sqrt{6}$
$C3$	$-s^2$	$\frac{1}{2}s^2-3$	$\delta s^2+s^2-3\omega_m$
$C4, C5$	$\mp s\sqrt{\frac{3\omega_m}{\delta}}$	$\frac{3}{\delta}\sqrt{-\omega_m\delta(2-\omega_m+2\delta)}$	$-\frac{3}{\delta}\sqrt{-\omega_m\delta(2-\omega_m+2\delta)}$
$C6$	$3\omega_m$	$-3\omega_m$	$-3\delta-3+\frac{3}{2}\omega_m$

• The DE dominated solution C3 will exist for $-\sqrt{6}\leq s\leq\sqrt{6}$. The DE behaves as perfect fluid, but acceleration will occur for $s^2<2$ (see table V). The point represents the non-hyperbolic solution for $s=0,\quad 0<\omega_m\leq 2$ (hyperbolic otherwise). The hyperbolic point C3 describes the stable solution if the following conditions are fulfilled $\delta\in\mathbb{R}$:
and either (a) $\left(-\sqrt{6}<s<0,\quad 0\leq\omega_m\leq 2,\quad \delta<\frac{3\omega_m-s^2}{s^2}\right)$

or, (b) $\left(0<s<\sqrt{6},\quad 0\leq\omega_m\leq 2,\quad \delta<\frac{3\omega_m-s^2}{s^2}\right)$.

Although the point represents the accelerated attractor in the above parameter space, does not solve the coincidence problem. The figure (6) shows that the point C3 is stable attractor for $\delta=0.1,\quad s=1,\quad \omega=1.01$.

• C4, and C5 exist only for $\omega_m=0,\quad$ and $\quad\delta<0$. For these parameters the points behave as the solutions of 5D corrections in Brane scenario. The acceleration is possible around the points ($q=-1$). DE is the stiff fluid in nature. The points are non-hyperbolic in nature.

• The point C6 represents completely DM dominated solution ($\Omega_m=1$) (see table V). We cannot conclude about the nature of DE. Acceleration is possible for $\omega_m<\frac{2}{3}$. The point behaves like saddle point in the phase space of RS2 model, since one of the eigenvalues is always positive (see table VI).

3.2.2. Hyperbolic potential:$V=V_0\cosh(\mu\phi)$

Now, considering the scalar field related quantity s as one of the dynamical variables, the autonomous system for the interaction (22) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dN}&=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}ys-3x+\frac{3}{2}x^3\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}(2-\omega_m)+\frac{3}{2}x\omega_m(1-y-z)\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}-3\delta x(1-x^2-y-z)\\ \frac{dy}{dN}&=-\sqrt{6}xys+3y\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}[x^2(2-\omega_m)+\omega_m(1-y-z)]\\ \frac{dz}{dN}&=-3z[x^2(2-\omega_m)+\omega_m(1-y-z)]\\ \frac{ds}{dN}&=-\sqrt{6}x(\mu^2-s^2)\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

where, phase space is described by the boundary (21). The interaction model 2 *i.e.*, $Q2=\delta\frac{\rho_m}{H}\dot{\phi}^2$ in the presence of the hyperbolic potential gives the above four dimensional autonomous system of ordinary differential equations in which s treated as a dynamical variable. The critical points for this system (24) are as follows:

• I. Critical Points : $D1, D2=(\pm1,0,0,\mu)$
• II. Critical Points : $D3, D4=(\pm1,0,0,-\mu)$
• III. Critical Points : $D5=\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{6}},1-\frac{\mu^2}{6},0,\mu\right)$
• IV. Critical Points : $D6=\left(-\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{6}},1-\frac{\mu^2}{6},0,-\mu\right)$
• V. Critical Points : $D7, D8=\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2\omega_m}{\delta}},0,\frac{2-\omega_m+2\delta}{2\delta},\pm\mu\right)$

TABLE VII: The Critical Points and their corresponding physical parameters for the interaction model $Q2 = \delta\frac{\rho_m}{H}\dot{\phi}^2$ for hyperbolic potential.

Critical Points	$(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s})$	ω_ϕ	$\mathbf{\Omega_m}$	$\mathbf{\Omega_\phi}$	$\mathbf{q}$
$D1, D2$	$(\pm1, 0, 0, \mu)$	1	0	1	2
$D3, D4$	$(\pm1, 0, 0, -\mu)$	1	0	1	2
$D5, D6$	$\left(\pm\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{6}}, 1-\frac{\mu^2}{6}, 0, \pm\mu\right)$	$\frac{\mu^2}{3}-1$	0	1	$-1+\frac{\mu^2}{2}$
$D7, D8$	$\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2\omega_m}{\delta}}, 0, \frac{2-\omega_m+2\delta}{2\delta}, \pm\mu\right)$	1	$-\frac{1}{\delta}$	$\frac{\omega_m}{2\delta}$	-1
$D9, D10$	$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2\omega_m}{\delta}}, 0, \frac{2-\omega_m+2\delta}{2\delta}, \pm\mu\right)$	1	$-\frac{1}{\delta}$	$\frac{\omega_m}{2\delta}$	-1
$D11$	$(0, 0, 0, s)$	$\nexists$	1	0	$-1+\frac{3}{2}\omega_m$
$D12$	$(0, 1-z, z, 0)$	-1	0	$1-z$	-1

TABLE VIII: The eigenvalues of the linearized system for the interaction model $Q1 = \gamma\dot{\phi}\rho_m$ for hyperbolic potential.

Critical Points	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4
$D1, D2$	-6	$6-3\omega_m+6\delta$	$6\mp\mu\sqrt{6}$	$\pm2\sqrt{6}\mu$
$D3, D4$	-6	$6-3\omega_m-6\delta$	$6\pm\mu\sqrt{6}$	$\mp2\sqrt{6}\mu$
$D5, D6$	$-\mu^2$	$2\mu^2$	$-3+\frac{1}{2}\mu^2$	$\mu^2\delta+\mu^2-3\omega_m$
$D7, D8$	$\frac{3}{\delta}\sqrt{-\omega_m\delta(2-\omega_m+2\delta)}$	$-\frac{3}{\delta}\sqrt{-\omega_m\delta(2-\omega_m+2\delta)}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}\mu}{2\delta}\left(\pm\delta\sqrt{\frac{\omega_m}{\delta}}+3\sqrt{\omega_m\delta}\right)$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}\mu}{2\delta}\left(\mp\delta\sqrt{\frac{\omega_m}{\delta}}+3\sqrt{\omega_m\delta}\right)$
$D9, D10$	$\frac{3}{\delta}\sqrt{-\omega_m\delta(2-\omega_m+2\delta)}$	$-\frac{3}{\delta}\sqrt{-\omega_m\delta(2-\omega_m+2\delta)}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}\mu}{2\delta}\left(\mp\delta\sqrt{\frac{\omega_m}{\delta}}+3\sqrt{\omega_m\delta}\right)$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}\mu}{2\delta}\left(\pm\delta\sqrt{\frac{\omega_m}{\delta}}+3\sqrt{\omega_m\delta}\right)$
$D11$	0	$3\omega_m$	$-3\omega_m$	$-3\delta-3+\frac{3}{2}\omega_m$
$D12$	0	$-3\omega_m$	$-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{9-12\mu^2+12\mu^2z}$	$-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{9-12\mu^2+12\mu^2z}$

- VI. Critical Points : $D9, D10 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2\omega_m}{\delta}}, 0, \frac{2-\omega_m+2\delta}{2\delta}, \pm\mu\right)$
- VII. Critical Points : $D11 = (0,\ 0,\ 0,\ s)$.
- VIII. Critical Points : $D12 = (0,\ 1-z,\ z,\ 0)$.

The critical points and the corresponding physical parameters are displayed in the table VII.

The eigenvalues for this interaction model with hyperbolic potential are presented in the table VIII.

• The kinetic energy of the scalar field dominated (DM is absent) solutions D1, D2, D3, and D4 always exist, and have a decelerating ($q = 2$) behavior. The DE behaves as stiff fluid. The points are unstable (saddle like) since one of eigenvalues is always in opposite sign. The points describe the early phases of the universe.

• The points D5, D6 with DE domination(DM is absent) will exist for $\mu^2 \leq 6$. Here, DE is perfect fluid, and acceleration is possible for $\mu^2 \leq 2$. The points are unstable (saddle like) in nature.

• The points D7, D8, D9, and D10 exist for $\omega_m = 0$, and $\delta < 0$, and for this reason the points will become DM dominated (see table). In spite of that there exists always an acceleration phase near those points. These are the solutions with 5D corrections describe the early dense Big Bang singularity of the universe. The points are non-hyperbolic in nature since all eigenvalues are zero because of their existence criteria (see table VIII).

• The DM dominated solution D11 exists for all parameter values. We cannot conclude about the DE equation of state. There exists an acceleration phase of universe near this point for $\omega_m < \frac{2}{3}$. The point is non-hyperbolic and saddle like in nature.

• D12 is the critical point arises with 5D corrections. It exists always in the phase space (with $z \in [0,\ 1)$). The point is completely DE dominated solution. It represents the de Sitter solution of early evolution of universe ($\omega_\phi = -1$) and is always accelerating. This is non-hyperbolic type point and has three dimensional stable subspace if $-\sqrt{\frac{3}{4(1-z)}} \leq \mu < 0$, and $0 < \mu \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{4(1-z)}}$ with $0 \leq z < 1$.



FIG. 1: The point A4 the of autonomous system (18) of interaction (17) is stable attractor for the parameters values $\gamma = 0.01, \quad s = -1.1, \quad \omega_m = 1.01$



FIG. 2: The figure shows the phase portrait in the $x - y$ plane. the critical points A4 of autonomous system (18) is stable for the parameter values $\gamma = 0.01, \ \ s = 0.5, \ \ \omega_m = 1.01$.



FIG. 3: Phase portrait of autonomous system (18) in the $x - y$ plane shows that the point A5 is stable solution for $\gamma = 0.01, \quad s = -3.0, \quad \omega_m = 1.01$.



FIG. 4: The point A5 is stable solution for the parameters values $\gamma = 0.1, \quad s = -1.9, \quad \omega_m = 1.01$.

3.3. Interaction Model 3:$Q3 = \sigma \frac{\rho_m^2}{H}$

Now, we consider the interaction as

$$Q = \sigma \frac{\rho_m^2}{H} \tag{25}$$



FIG. 5: The figure shows the phase portrait around the critical points of interaction (17) for the parameters values $\gamma = 0.1, \quad s = 1.7,$ $\omega_m = 1.001$, here, A5 is stable solution.



FIG. 6: The figure shows the phase portrait around the critical points in $x-y$ plane for the autonomous system (23) with interaction (22). For the parameters values $\delta = 0.1, \quad s = 1, \quad \omega_m = 1.01$, the point C3 exhibit a stable solution in the phase plane.



FIG. 7: The figure shows the phase portrait of autonomous system (26) for interaction (25) around where, for the parameters values $\sigma = -0.1, \quad s = 3.5, \quad \omega_m = 0.5$, the point E4 is stable.



FIG. 8: The figure represents that the critical E5, in autonomous system (26) for interaction (25), is stable when $\sigma = -0.1, \quad s = -3.5, \quad \omega_m = 0.5$

3.3.1. Exponential potential:$V = V_0 exp(-s\phi)$

Using the interaction term in equation (25), for exponential potential of self interaction scalar field gives the autonomous system (8) as

$$\frac{dx}{dN} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}ys - 3x + \frac{3}{2}x^3\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}(2-\omega_m) + \frac{3}{2}x\omega_m(1-y-z)\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)} - \frac{3}{2}\sigma\frac{(1-x^2-y-z)^2}{x}$$
$$\frac{dy}{dN} = -\sqrt{6}xys + 3y\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}\left[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)\right]$$
$$\frac{dz}{dN} = -3z\left[x^2(2-\omega_m) + \omega_m(1-y-z)\right] \tag{26}$$

The critical points are for the interaction model (25) with the exponential potential:



FIG. 9: The phase portrait around the critical points of autonomous system (26) for the interaction (25) shows the point E3 is stable solution for the parameters values $\sigma = -0.01, \quad s = 0.5, \quad \omega_m = 1.001$

TABLE IX: The Critical Points and their corresponding physical parameters for the interaction model $Q3 = \sigma\frac{\rho_m^2}{H}$ for exponential potential.

Critical Points	$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$	ω_ϕ	$\mathbf{\Omega_m}$	$\mathbf{\Omega}_\phi$	$\mathbf{q}$
$E1, E2$	$(\pm 1, 0, 0)$	1	0	1	2
$E3$	$\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{6}}, 1-\frac{s^2}{6}, 0\right)$	$\frac{1}{3}s^2 - 1$	0	1	$-1+\frac{1}{2}s^2$
$E4, E5$	$\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\omega_m - 2 + \sigma}}, 0, 0\right)$	1	$\frac{2-\omega_m}{2-\sigma-\omega_m}$	$\frac{\sigma}{-2+\sigma+\omega_m}$	$\frac{4+4\sigma-8\omega_m+3\omega_m^2}{2(-2+\sigma+\omega_m)}$
$E6, E7$	$\left(\pm\frac{\omega_m}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-2}{\sigma}}, 0, \frac{2\sigma-2\omega_m+\omega_m^2}{2\sigma}\right)$	1	$\frac{\omega_m}{\sigma}$	$-\frac{\omega_m^2}{\sigma}$	-1

For the dynamical analysis we first find out the critical points and then analyze the linear stability theory accordingly. We present the critical point for this system and the corresponding physical parameters are shown in the table IX. From the autonomous system (26), we have the critical points:

- I. Critical Points : $E1, \ E2 = (\pm 1, \ 0, \ 0)$
- II. Critical Points : $E3 = \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{6}}, 1-\frac{s^2}{6}, 0\right)$
- III. Critical Points : $E4, \ E5 = \left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\omega_m - 2 + \sigma}}, 0, 0\right)$
- IV. Critical Points : $E6, \ E7 = \left(\pm\frac{\omega_m}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-2}{\sigma}}, 0, \frac{2\sigma-2\omega_m+\omega_m^2}{2\sigma}\right)$.

For the stability analysis, we have to find out the eigenvalues of the linearized Jacobian matrix, and so we present the eigenvalues for this model with exponential potential in the table X.

• Completely DE dominated (DM is absent) solutions E1, and E2 always exist in the phase space. Acceleration is not possible for those points. They are unstable saddle like in nature.

• E3 exists for $s^2 < 6$. This point is completely dominated by DE which behaves as perfect fluid. There exists an acceleration near this point for $s^2 < 2$. The point is hyperbolic (for $s \neq 0$) in nature and will be stable if the following conditions hold: $s^2 < min\{6, 3\omega_m\}$, or in the range $0 < \omega_m \leq 2$, and $-\sqrt{3\omega_m} < s < \sqrt{3\omega_m}$.
The figure (9) shows that E3 is stable solution with the parameter values $\sigma = -0.01, \quad s = 0.5, \omega_m = 1.001$.

• Both the critical points E4, and E5 are combination of DE and DM components. The DE behaves as stiff fluid. The points exist only when either (a) $(0 \leq \omega_m < 2, \quad \sigma \leq 0)$ or, (b) $\omega_m = 2, \quad (\sigma < 0, \text{ or } \sigma > 0)$ hold. There will be accelerating phase around the critical points if the following conditions are satisfied

TABLE X: The eigenvalues of the linearized system for the interaction model $Q3=\sigma\frac{\rho_m^2}{H}$ for exponential potential

Critical Points	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3
$E1,\ E2$	-6	$6-3\omega_m$	$6\mp s\sqrt{6}$
$E3$	$-s^2$	$\frac{1}{2}s^2-3$	$s^2-3\omega_m$
$E4,\ E5$	$-6+3\omega_m$	$-\frac{3(2\sigma-2\omega_m+\omega_m^2)}{-2+\sigma+\omega_m}$	$\frac{3(2\sigma-2\omega_m+\omega_m^2)}{-2+\omega_m+\sigma}\mp s\sqrt{\frac{6\sigma}{-2+\omega_m+\sigma}}$
$E6,\ E7$	$\mp\omega_m s\sqrt{\frac{-3}{\sigma}}$	$-\frac{3}{2}(2-\omega_m)+\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{(4-12\omega_m+\omega_m^2)+\frac{4\omega_m^2}{\sigma}(2-\omega_m)}$	$-\frac{3}{2}(2-\omega_m)-\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{(4-12\omega_m+\omega_m^2)+\frac{4\omega_m^2}{\sigma}(2-\omega_m)}$

$(a)\ \left(0\leq\omega_m<\frac{2}{3},\ \ \frac{1}{4}\left(-3\omega_m^2+8\omega_m-4\right)<\sigma\leq 0\right)$ or, $(b)\ (\omega_m=2,\ \ \sigma<0)$, or $(c)\ (\omega_m=2,\ \ \sigma>0)$. Both the points are conditionally stable in some parameter region. The point E4 will be stable in the parameter space:

$$0\leq\omega_m<\frac{2}{3},\ \ \frac{1}{4}\left(-3\omega_m^2+8\omega_m-4\right)<\sigma<0,\ \ s>\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{4\sigma^2+4\sigma\omega_m^2-8\sigma\omega_m+\omega_m^4-4\omega_m^3+4\omega_m^2}{\sigma(\sigma+\omega_m-2)}}.$$

The figure (7) shows E4 is stable attractor for $\sigma=-0.1,\ \ s=3.5,\ \ \omega_m=0.5$.
while the stability criteria for the point E5 is as follows:

$$0\leq\omega_m<\frac{2}{3},\ \ \frac{1}{4}\left(-3\omega_m^2+8\omega_m-4\right)<\sigma<0,\ \ s<-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{4\sigma^2+4\sigma\omega_m^2-8\sigma\omega_m+\omega_m^4-4\omega_m^3+4\omega_m^2}{\sigma(\sigma+\omega_m-2)}}$$

.

The point E5 is stable attractor for the state space $\sigma=-0.1,\ \ s=-3.5,\ \ \omega_m=0.5$ are shown in the figure (8).

• E6, and E7 exist when $\sigma\in\{\mathbb{R}-\{0\}\},\ \ \omega_m=0$, which shows the solutions associated with 5D corrections in high energy regime (where $\rho\gg\lambda$) and may describe the singularity as $z\to 1$. Acceleration is possible for these critical points. The points are non-hyperbolic and have a 2D stable manifold (see tables IX, and X).

3.3.2. Hyperbolic potential:$V=V_0\cosh(\mu\phi)$

For the hyperbolic potential and the interaction (25), the system (8) reduces to the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dN}&=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}ys-3x+\frac{3}{2}x^3\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}(2-\omega_m)+\frac{3}{2}x\omega_m(1-y-z)\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}-\frac{3}{2}\sigma\frac{(1-x^2-y-z)^2}{x}\\ \frac{dy}{dN}&=-\sqrt{6}xys+3y\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}[x^2(2-\omega_m)+\omega_m(1-y-z)]\\ \frac{dz}{dN}&=-3z[x^2(2-\omega_m)+\omega_m(1-y-z)]\\ \frac{ds}{dN}&=-\sqrt{6}x(\mu^2-s^2)\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

where the phase space boundary satisfies (21). The critical points and the corresponding physical parameters for the interaction model (25) with the hyperbolic potential are shown in the table XI. The system (27) provides the following critical points:

• I. Critical Points : $F1,\ F2=(\pm1,\ 0,\ 0,\ \mu)$
• II. Critical Points : $F3,\ F4=(\pm1,\ 0,\ 0,\ -\mu)$
• III. Critical Points : $F5=\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{6}},1-\frac{\mu^2}{6},\ 0,\ \mu\right)$
• IV. Critical Points : $F6=\left(-\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{6}},1-\frac{\mu^2}{6},\ 0,\ -\mu\right)$

TABLE XI: The existence of Critical Points and the corresponding physical parameters for the interaction model $Q3=\sigma\frac{\rho_m^2}{H}$ for hyperbolic potential

Critical Points	$(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s})$	ω_ϕ	$\mathbf{\Omega_m}$	$\mathbf{\Omega_\phi}$	$\mathbf{q}$
$F1, F2$	$(\pm1,0,0,\mu)$	1	0	1	2
$F3, F4$	$(\pm1,0,0,-\mu)$	1	0	1	2
$F5, F6$	$\left(\pm\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{6}},1-\frac{\mu^2}{6},0,\pm\mu\right)$	$\frac{\mu^2}{3}-1$	0	1	$-1+\frac{\mu^2}{2}$
$F7, F8$	$\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}},0,0,\pm\mu\right)$	1	$\frac{-2+\omega_m}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}$	$\frac{\sigma}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}$	$\frac{-8\omega_m+4+4\sigma+3\omega_m^2}{2(\omega_m-2+\sigma)}$
$F9, F10$	$\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}},0,0,\pm\mu\right)$	1	$\frac{-2+\omega_m}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}$	$\frac{\sigma}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}$	$\frac{-8\omega_m+4+4\sigma+3\omega_m^2}{2(\omega_m-2+\sigma)}$
$F11, F12$	$\left(\frac{\omega_m}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-2}{\sigma}},0,\frac{2\sigma+\omega_m^2-2\omega_m}{2\sigma},\pm\mu\right)$	1	$\frac{\omega_m}{\sigma}$	$-\frac{\omega_m^2}{2\sigma}$	-1
$F13, F14$	$\left(-\frac{\omega_m}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-2}{\sigma}},0,\frac{2\sigma+\omega_m^2-2\omega_m}{2\sigma},\pm\mu\right)$	1	$\frac{\omega_m}{\sigma}$	$-\frac{\omega_m^2}{2\sigma}$	-1

TABLE XII: The eigenvalues of the linearized system for the interaction model $Q3=\sigma\frac{\rho_m^2}{H}$ for hyperbolic potential, where, $\sum_\pm=-\frac{3}{2}(2-\omega_m)\pm\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{(4-12\omega_m+\omega_m^2)+\frac{4\omega_m^2}{\sigma}(2-\omega_m)}$

Critical Points	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4
$F1,\ F2$	-6	$6-3\omega_m$	$6\mp\mu\sqrt{6}$	$\pm2\sqrt{6}\mu$
$F3,\ F4$	-6	$6-3\omega_m$	$6\pm\mu\sqrt{6}$	$\mp2\sqrt{6}\mu$
$F5,\ F6$	$-\mu^2$	$2\mu^2$	$-3+\frac{1}{2}\mu^2$	$\mu^2-3\omega_m$
$F7,\ F8$	$-3(2-\omega_m)$	$\frac{3(2\sigma-2\omega_m+\omega_m^2)}{2-\omega_m-\sigma}$	$\pm\frac{2\mu\sqrt{6\sigma}}{\sqrt{\omega_m-2+\sigma}}$	$6+\frac{3(\omega_m-2)^2}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}\mp\frac{\mu\sqrt{6\sigma}}{\sqrt{\omega_m-2+\sigma}}$
$F9,\ F10$	$-3(2-\omega_m)$	$\frac{3(2\sigma-2\omega_m+\omega_m^2)}{2-\omega_m-\sigma}$	$\mp\frac{2\mu\sqrt{6\sigma}}{\sqrt{\omega_m-2+\sigma}}$	$6+\frac{3(\omega_m-2)^2}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}\pm\frac{\mu\sqrt{6\sigma}}{\sqrt{\omega_m-2+\sigma}}$
$F11,\ F12$	$\sum_+$	$\sum_-$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}\mu\omega_m}{2\sqrt{-\sigma}}\left(3\mp\sqrt{-\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{1}{-\sigma}}\right)$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}\mu\omega_m}{2\sqrt{-\sigma}}\left(3\pm\sqrt{-\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{1}{-\sigma}}\right)$
$F13,\ F14$	$\sum_+$	$\sum_-$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}\mu\omega_m}{2\sqrt{-\sigma}}\left(3\pm\sqrt{-\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{1}{-\sigma}}\right)$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}\mu\omega_m}{2\sqrt{-\sigma}}\left(3\mp\sqrt{-\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{1}{-\sigma}}\right)$

- V. Critical Points : $F7,\ F8=\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}},\ 0,\ 0,\ \ \pm\mu\right)$
- VI. Critical Points : $F9,\ F10=\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\omega_m-2+\sigma}},\ 0,\ 0,\ \ \pm\mu\right)$
- V. Critical Points : $F11,\ F12=\left(\frac{\omega_m}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-2}{\sigma}},0,\frac{2\sigma+\omega_m^2-2\omega_m}{2\sigma},\pm\mu\right)$
- V. Critical Points : $F13,\ F14=\left(-\frac{\omega_m}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-2}{\sigma}},0,\frac{2\sigma+\omega_m^2-2\omega_m}{2\sigma},\pm\mu\right)$

The eigenvalues for this interaction model with hyperbolic potential are given in the table XII.

There are fourteen critical points for the interaction model (25) with the hyperbolic potential of scalar field in the RS2 model.

- F1, F2, F3, and F4 always exist in the phase space. They are completely DE dominated solutions (DM is absent). Here DE behaves as stiff fluid. Accelerated expansion is not possible around these points. The points behave as unstable (saddle) solutions.

- The points F5, and F6 are completely DE dominated (DM absent) solutions, exist for $\mu^2\leq6$. The DE is the perfect fluid. There exists an accelerating phase of the universe near the points for $\mu^2\leq2$. The points are saddle like in nature since one of the eigenvalues is positive.

- The points F7, F8, F9, and F10 show the similar characteristics. The existence criteria for these points are as follows: $(a)\ 0\leq\omega_m<2,\ \ \sigma\leq0$ or$(b)\ \omega_m=2,\ \ (\sigma<0,\ \ \text{or}\ \ \sigma>0)$. The points are the solutions having both the DE and DM components. The DE is like stiff fluid in that case. There exists an accelerating phase if the following conditions hold: $(a)\ \left(0\leq\omega_m<\frac{2}{3},\ \ \frac{1}{4}\left(-3\omega_m^2+8\omega_m-4\right)<\sigma\leq0\right)$ or $(b)\ (\omega_m=2,\ \ \sigma<0)$ or $(c)\ (\omega_m=2,\ \ \sigma>0)$. The points are unstable (saddle like) in nature in the physical region (see table XII).

• F11, F12, F13, and F14 will have the similar nature as E6, and E7.

4. COSMOLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

We have discussed the phase space analysis of critical points from the dynamics of RS2 brane scenario in previous section. The corresponding physical parameters, and the stability of the critical points are presented therein. Now we are going to present the cosmological implications of the points arise from the systems. From the cosmological point of view, the following scenario are realized by the properties of critical points:

a. Scalar field dominated era:

The kinetic energy of scalar field dominated solutions A1 and A2 correspond to a standard 4D behavior, where the expansion of universe is always highly decelerated. Moreover, the solutions correspond to conditional stable points in the phase space. This represents a solution in early phase of universe in the RS model which differs from the result in standard general relativity since these kind of points exhibit as a source in the phase space. However, the points B1–B4 describe the similar behavior at the early evolution of universe. The points are always decelerating and saddle in nature and are distinct from the result obtained in standard GR. Beside these, a number of solutions namely, C1–C2, D1–D4, E1–E2, and F1–F4 are obtained from different studied interactions with exponential as well as hyperbolic type potentials and they reveal only the early phases of universe in RS phase space.

The solution represented by the point A4 corresponds to a scalar field dominated era of universe, where DE may be any kind of perfect fluid having equation of state $\omega_\phi = \frac{s^2}{3} - 1$. From the linear stability theory, we observe that the point exhibits a stable solution for some parameter restrictions. It recovers ΛCDM for constant potential (*i.e.*, for $s = 0$). The late time acceleration of universe near the point is realized for $s^2 < 2$, but it suffers from the coincidence problem. Although the solution admits the late time accelerated attractor, it could not solve the coincidence problem since $\Omega_\phi = 1$ for this case. The figures (1), and (2) explore the point A4 which is a stable attractor in the phase plane. It should be mentioned that the similar results are obtained by analyzing the points C3, and E3. On the other hand, the scalar field dominated solutions B9–B10, D5–D6, F5–F6 are not so physically significant as they are unstable points in phase space for RS model when potential of scalar field is chosen as hyperbolic one.

b. Matter dominated era:

The dark matter dominated ($\Omega_m = 1$) era of universe is described by the point C6. There exists an accelerated universe if $\omega_m < \frac{2}{3}$, DM mimics as DE in this case, otherwise it is decelerated. From the linear stability analysis, we observe that the point C6 shows saddle like nature since one of the eigenvalues is always positive. In this case, stability in one eigen direction associated with negative eigenvalue while instability will occur for positive eigenvalue. The point corresponds a transient stage of cosmic evolution since universe can exit from this matter dominated era. Thus for a successful cosmological scenario this phase should be relevant for observed cosmic structure. Another point D11 will show the same nature in RS2 model.

c. Matter-Scalar field dominated solutions:

According to present observations our universe is currently undergoing an accelerated expansion phase. This scenario of universe can be realized in obtaining a critical point representing the attractor solution which is accelerated with the similar order of dark energy and dark matter $\Omega_\phi/\Omega_m = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Our study of interacting DE model in brane dynamics reveals those points which are scaling attractor in phase space. Imposing some restrictions on parameter, the point A5 behaves fully stable attractor which represents the accelerating phase and the ratio of energy densities $r = \frac{\Omega_\phi}{\Omega_m} = \frac{\gamma^2+\gamma s+3\omega_m}{s^2+\gamma s-3\omega_m}$ gives the possible solution for coincidence problem. Thus, from the cosmological point of view, the point A5 is relevant in the present context, by providing the possible explanation for present accelerated expansion of the universe. The figures (3), (4), and (5) show that all trajectories enter into the point A5. The similar results are exhibited by the points E4–E5 where DE behaves as stiff fluid, and potential of scalar field is chosen to be in exponential form. These are shown in figures (7), (8).

On the other hand, though the matter-scalar field scaling solutions namely, B11–B12, F7–F10 exist for other interactions model in the phase space, but they are not so much interesting due to their unstable nature when

potential of scalar field is taken as hyperbolic.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the previous section we have presented an exhaustive study of the autonomous system describing the cosmic evolution in the background of brane world gravity. We have taken three choices for the interaction term between the two (dark) components of the cosmic substratum. The self interacting potential function for the scalar field (describing dark energy component of the matter) is chosen as exponential or hyperbolic in form. In general, the phase space for the autonomous system is four dimensional, but for exponential type potential the phaser space reduces to three dimensional (14).

We now summarize our main results for different interaction models with the exponential, and hyperbolic potential separately. The critical points achieved from every model explored different nature in contrary with hyperbolic potential. For the exponential potential, the interactions provide some interesting solutions such as the points A1, A2(model (17)); C1, C2 (from model (22)), and E1, E2 (model (25)) have the similar nature in the phase space. They correspond to the solutions, dominated by the kinetic energy of the scalar field, are always decelerating in their cosmic evolution. The points represent the stable solutions for some parameter restrictions. On the other hand, for hyperbolic potential, the same interaction models provide the critical points B1-B4; D1,D2; and F1-F4. All are the solutions, dominated by kinetic energy, explore the decelerating phase of universe as the critical points for exponential potential. But in contrary, here, the points are unstable (saddle) in nature, and thus, they represent the solutions of early phases of universe.

The scalar field dominated solutions namely, A4 (interaction (17)), C3 (interaction (22)), and E3((25)) with exponential potential exhibit the same behavior from the cosmological point of view. For all the points, DE behaves as perfect fluid having any equation of state $\omega_\phi = \frac{s^2}{3} - 1$. The expansion of universe will accelerate near these critical points for $s^2 < 2$ (decelerate otherwise). The points represent the stable solutions for some parameter state but could not alleviate the coincidence problem since $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_\phi) = 1$ for this case. These are shown in the figures (1), (6), and (9) respectively.

These type of critical points are also achieved from the hyperbolic potential. The points B9, B10 (interaction model (17)); D5, D6 (Interaction (22)); and F5, F6 (from model (25)) correspond to the solutions dominated by the scalar field and are accelerating (for $\mu^2 < 2$) as the critical points from exponential potential. But the Points are saddle like nature in the phase space ($x,\ y,\ z,\ s$ in (21)) where $'s'$ is treated as a dynamical variable.

The matter- scalar field scaling solutions A3, and A5 (from interaction (17)); E4, and E5 (from interaction model (25)) are realized from exponential potential. Although the point A3 provides the accelerated expansion for some parameter restrictions, the point represents an unstable (saddle like) solution in the phase space for its existence criteria. On the other hand, depending on parameter restrictions, the points A5, E4, and E5 show the interesting behavior in the brane context. All behave as scaling late time attractors which are accelerating (see the figures (3), (4), (5), and (7), (8)), and consequently they could alleviate the coincidence problem. These are shown in detail in the previous section.

The matter-scalar field scaling solutions are also achieved from the hyperbolic potential but they do not show the same behavior with critical points as in the exponential potential. The points provide the solutions which are never stable rather saddle in the physical region ($x,\ y,\ z,\ s$ in (21)).

The points with 5D corrections are produced for all cases of interactions and potentials. They are non-hyperbolic in nature, linear stability theory fails to check their stability. They represent the early big-bang model of universe.

Thus, we can conclude that the entire study are classified by two different directions: one is the study of interacting DE with three different interaction terms in RS2 brane scenario choosing the self interaction potentials of scalar field as exponential where the quantity s taken as constant, and another is the study with hyperbolic potential where s indicates a dynamical variable (in the physical region (21)), and hence the physical region would be 4D phase space. We have shown that for exponential potential, the dynamics of RS2 brane scenario produce some interesting critical points from the cosmological point of view. The accelerated scaling attractors are realized for some parameter restrictions in which the points could solve coincidence problem successfully. However, in contrary to the exponential case, we have found that all the critical points are unstable in nature in the four dimensional phase space. Lastly, we can conclude that based on our study, the exponential potential is more physically viable than hyperbolic potential from the point of view of present cosmological scenario.

Acknowledgments

Authors are thankful to IUCAA, Pune, India for providing research facilities as the work was done during a visit. Also, one of the authors (SC) is thankful to the UGC-DRS programme, in the department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University.

[1] K. Akama, Lect. Notes Phys. **176**, 267 (1982); V. A. Rubakov, and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **B 125**, 139 (1983); G. W. Gibbons, and D. L. Wiltshire, Nucl. Phys. **B 287**, 717 (1987); P. Horava, and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B 460**, 506 (1996); P. Horava, and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B 475**, 94 (1996); N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B 429**, 263 (1998); I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B 436**, 257 (1998); N. Kaloper, Phys. Rev. **D 60**, 123506 (1999).
[2] L. Randall, and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999).
[3] L. Randall, and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999).
[4] D Langlois, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. ***148***, 181 (2003).
[5] J. Garriga, and T. Tanaka , Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2778 (2000). S. B. Giddings , E. Katz , and L. Randzll , J. High Energy , Phys. **0003**, 023 (2000).
[6] A. G. Riess et al. Astrophys. J. **659**, 98 (2007).
[7] T. M. Davis et al. Astrophys. J. **666**, 716 (2007).
[8] W. MichaelWood-Vasey et al. Astrophys. J. **666**, 694 (2007).
[9] M. Tegmark et al. Phys. Rev. D **69** , 103501 (2004).
[10] N. Jarosik et al. Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192**, 14 (2011).
[11] D. Larson et al. Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192**, 16 (2011).
[12] E. Komatsu et al. Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192**, 18 (2011).
[13] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 451 (2010); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rep. **505**, 59 (2011); Ya-Bowu et al, Phys. Lett. B **717**, 323 (2012).
[14] S. Capozziello, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Rev. D **11**, 483 (2002).
[15] S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 086005 (2006).
[16] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980) ; R. Kerner, Gen. Relt. Grav. **14**, 453 (1982); J. D. Barrow and A. Ottewi, J. Phys. A **16**, 2757 (1983); V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 023520 (2006); H. J. Schmidth , Int. J. Geom. Math. Phys. **4**, 209 (2007).
[17] S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Gen. Relt. Grav. **36**, 1765 (2004); Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19**, 627 (2004); M. C. B. Abdalla, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 235 (2005).
[18] S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **576**, 5 (2003); S. M. Carroll et al., Phys. Rev. D **70**, 043528 (2004); S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **634**, 93 (2006); S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov and D. Sacz-Gomes, Phys. Lett. B **681**, 74 (2009).
[19] G. R. Bengochea, and R. Ferraro, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 124019 (2005).
[20] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Repts. **380**, 235 (2003).
[21] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 1 (1989).
[22] V. Sahni, and A. A. Starobinsky, Int. J. of Mod. Phys. **9**, 373 (2000).
[23] I. Zlatev, L.-M. Wang, P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 896 (1999), (arXiv: astro-ph/9807002).
[24] C. G. Bohmer, G. Caldera-Cabral, R. Lazkoz, and R. Maartens, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 023505 (2008).
[25] C. Wetterich, Astron. Astrophys. **301**, 321 (1995).
[26] L. Amendola, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 043501 (1999).
[27] G. Oliveras, F. Atrio- Barandela, and D. Pavon. Phys. Rev. D **71**, 063523 (2005).
[28] G. Oliveras, F. Atrio- Barandela, and D. Pavon. Phys. Rev. D **74**, 043521 (2006).
[29] S. Das, P. S. Corasaniti, and J. Khoury, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 083501 (2006).
[30] L. Amendola, M. Gasperini, and F. Plazza, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 127302 (2006).
[31] Y. L.Bolotin, A. Kostenko, O A. Lemets, D A. Yerokhin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **24**, 1530007 (2015), (arXiv: 1310.0085 [astro-ph.CO]).
[32] A. A.Costa, X. D. Xu, B. Wang, E. G. M. Ferreira, and E. Abdalla, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 103531 (2014).
[33] M. Khurshudyan, and R. Myrzakulov, arXiv:1509.02263 [gr-qc] (2015).
[34] S. Kr. Biswas, and S. Chakraborty, General Relativ. Gravit. **47**, 22 (2015).
[35] S. Kr. Biswas, and S. Chakraborty, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D. **24**, 7, 1550046 (2015).
[36] N. Tamanini, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 043524 (2015), (arXiv: 1504.07397 [gr-qc]).
[37] X. Chen, Y. Gong, and E.N. Saridakis, JCAP **0904**, 001 (2009), (arXiv:0812.1117[gr-qc]).
[38] T. Harko, and F. S. N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 044018, (2013), (arXiv:1210.3617[gr-qc]).
[39] R. C. Nunes, S. Pan, and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 023508 (2016), (arXiv:1605.01712 [astro-ph.CO]).
[40] B. Wang, E. Abdalla, F. Atrio-Barandela, and D. Pavon, arXiv:1603.08299 [astro-ph.CO].
[41] R. C. G. Landim, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 480 (2016), (arXiv:1605.03550 [hep-th]).
[42] R. C. G. Landim, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 31 (2016), (arXiv:1507.00902 [gr-qc]).

[43] K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 123525 (2001).
[44] F. Pedro, and G. Diaz, Phys. Lett. B **481**, 353 (2000).
[45] A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. D **64** , 083503 (2001).
[46] N. J. Nunes, and E. J. Copeland, Phys. Rev. D **66** , 043524 (2002).
[47] M. Sami, and N. Dadhich. TSPU Vestnik, **44** N7, 25 (2004).
[48] T. Gonzalez, T. Matos, I. Quiros, and A. V. Gonzalez, Phys. Lett. B **676**, 161 (2009).
[49] Y. Leyva, D. Gonzalez, T. Gonzalez, T. Matos, and I. Quiros, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 044026 (2009).
[50] D. Escobar, C. R. Fadragas, G. Leon, and Y. Leyva, Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 175005 (2012), (arXiv: 1110.1736v3 [gr-qc]).
[51] J. Dutta, and H. Zonunmawia, The European Physical Journal Plus **130**, 221 (2015), (arXiv:1601.00283 [gr-qc]).
[52] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, and D. Wands. Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4686 (1998).
[53] J. M. Aguirregabiria, and R. Lazkoz. Phys. Rev. D **69**, 123502 (2004).
[54] R. Lazkoz, G. Leon, and I. Quiros, Phys. Lett. B **649**, 103 (2007).
[55] W. Fang, Y. Li, K. Zhang, and H. Qing Lu. Class. Quant. Grav. **26** , 155005 (2009).
[56] G. Leon. Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 035008 (2009).
[57] G. Leon, P. Silveira, and C. R. Fadragas , arXiv: 1009.0689 [gr-qc].
[58] G. Leon, and C. R. Fadragas. Cosmological Dynamical Systems. LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, Germany, (2011), (arXiv:1412.5701 [gr-qc]).
[59] A. Campos, and C. F. Sopuerta, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 104012 (2001).
[60] A. Campos, and C. F. Sopuerta, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 104011 (2001).
[61] A. A. Coley, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 023512 (2002).
[62] A. A. Coley, astro-ph/**0504226**.
[63] N. Goheer and P. K. S. Dunsby, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 103513 (2003).
[64] N. Goheer and P. K. S. Dunsby, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 043527 (2002).
[65] D. Langlois. Brane cosmology: An Introduction. Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **148**, 181 (2003).
[66] P. Brax, and C. van de Bruck. Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, R201 (2003).
[67] D. Langlois, arXiv: astro-ph/**0403579**.
[68] R. Maartens. Brane world gravity. Living Rev. Rel. **7**, 7 (2004).
[69] P. Bowcock, C. Charmousis, and R. Gregory. Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 4745 (2000).
[70] C. Kaeonikhom, D. Singleton, S. V. Sushkov, and N. Yongram, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 124049 (2012), (arXiv:1209.5219 [gr-qc]).
[71] V. Sahni, and L.-M. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 103517 (2000), (astro-ph/9910097).
[72] V. Sahni, and A. Starobinsky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **9**, 373 (2000); L. A. Urena-Lopez, and T. Matos, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 081302 (2000); S. A. Pavluchenko, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 103518 (2003).
[73] R. Garca-Salcedo, T. Gonzalez, F. A. Horta-Rangel, I. Quiros, and D. Sanchez-Guzmn, Eur. J. Phys. **36**, 025008 (2015), (arXiv:1501.04851 [gr-qc]).